Portfolios that Contain Risky Assets 3: Markowitz Portfolios

C. David Levermore

University of Maryland, College Park, MD

Math 420: *Mathematical Modeling* February 27, 2019 version © 2019 Charles David Levermore

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문) (문)

Portfolios that Contain Risky Assets Part I: Portfolio Models

- 1. Risk and Reward
- 2. Covariance Matrices
- 3. Markowitz Portfolios
- 4. Markowitz Frontiers
- 5. Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets
- 6. Long Portfolios and Their Frontiers
- 7. Long Portfolios with a Safe Investment
- 8. Limited Portfolios and Their Frontiers
- 9. Limited Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets
- 10. Bounded Portfolios and Leverage Limits

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Markowitz Portfolios





3 Markowitz Portfolio Returns

4 Markowitz Portfolio Statistics





Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
●00000		000000	00000	00
Portfolios				

We will consider portfolios in which an investor can hold one of three positions with respect to any risky asset. The investor can:

- hold a *long position* by owning shares of the asset;
- hold a *short position* by selling borrowed shares of the asset;
- hold a *neutral position* by doing neither of the above.

In order to keep things simple, we will not consider derivative assets. **Remark.** The potential downside of a long position is limited to the amount that you invest in the asset. This happens when the asset itself becomes worthless.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
⊙●○○○○		000000	00000	00
Portfolios				

Remark. We hold a short position by borrowing shares of an asset from a lender (usually our broker) and selling them immediately.

- If the share price subsequently goes down then we can buy the same number of shares and give them to the lender, thereby paying off our loan and profiting by the price difference minus transaction costs.
- If the price goes up then our lender can force us either to increase our collateral or to pay off the loan by buying shares at this higher price, thereby taking a loss that might be larger than the original value of your entire portfolio.

Because the potential downside of a short position can bankrupt you, *short positions should be monitored constantly.*

Portfolios ○○●○○○	Markowitz	Returns 000000	Statistics 00000	Critique
Portfolios				

We will consider portfolios that hold $n_i(d)$ shares of asset *i* throughout trading day *d*.

- If we hold a long position in asset *i* on day *d* then $n_i(d) > 0$.
- If we hold a short position in asset *i* on day *d* then $n_i(d) < 0$.
- If we hold a neutral position in asset *i* on day *d* then $n_i(d) = 0$.

A portfolio that never holds a short position is called a *long portfolio*. Otherwise it is called a *leveraged portfolio*. Many investors have long portfolios because portfolios that hold short positions can be very risky.

Portfolios		

The value of such a portfolio at the end of trading day d is

$$\pi(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i(d) s_i(d). \qquad (1.1)$$

If $\pi(d) > 0$ for every trading day *d* then we say that it is a *solvent portfolio*. The return of such a portfolio for trading day *d* is

$$r(d) = \frac{\pi(d)}{\pi(d-1)} - 1 = \frac{\pi(d) - \pi(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)}.$$
 (1.2)

Notice that if $\pi(d-1) = 0$ for some d then the return is undefined. Worse, if $\pi(d-1) < 0$ for some d then a postive return implies that $\pi(d) < \pi(d-1)$, which means that the portfolio is losing value! Therefore, if return is to be a good proxy for reward then we should restrict our considerations to solvent portfolios.

C. David Levermore (UMD)

Markowitz Portfolios

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
0000●0	00000000	000000	00000	
Portfolios				

Fact 1. A portfolio will be solvent over a given return history if and only if

$$\pi(0)>0$$
 and $1+r(d)>0$ for every $d=1,\cdots,D$. (1.3)

Proof. By the definition of a solvent portfolio we know that $\pi(0) > 0$ and that $\pi(d) > 0$ and $\pi(d-1) > 0$ for every $d = 1, \dots, D$. It follows by (1.2) that 1 + r(d) > 0 for every $d = 1, \dots, D$. Therefore (1.3) holds.

Conversely, suppose (1.3) holds. It follows from (1.2) by induction that

$$\pi(d) = \pi(0) \prod_{d'=1}^{d} (1 + r(d'))$$
 for every $d = 1, \cdots, D$.

Then (1.3) implies that $\pi(d) > 0$ for every $d = 1, \dots, D$ as well as $\pi(0) > 0$. Therefore the portfolio is solvent.

Portfolios 00000●	Markowitz	Returns 000000	Statistics 00000	Critique
Portfolios				

A portfolio is called *self-financing* if $\{n_i(d)\}_{i=1}^N$ satisfies

$$\pi(d-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i(d) \, s_i(d-1) \quad \text{for every } d \, . \tag{1.4}$$

This is an idealization that neglects trading costs and assumes that the opening price for a share of asset *i* on day *d* is $s_i(d-1)$.

If a portfolio is self-financing then by using (1.1) and (1.4) in (1.2) we see that its return for trading day d is

$$r(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{n_i(d)}{\pi(d-1)} \left(s_i(d) - s_i(d-1) \right).$$
(1.5)

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	●○○○○○○○	000000	00000	00

A 1952 paper by Harry Markowitz had enormous influence on the theory and practice of portfolio management and financial engineering ever since.

- It presented his doctoral dissertation work at the Unversity of Chicago, for which he was awarded the Nobel Prize in Economics in 1990.
- It was the first work to quantify how diversifying a portfolio can reduce its risk without changing its potential reward. It did this because it was the first work to use the covariance between different assets in an essential way.

The key to carrying out this work was modeling. The first modeling step was to develop a class of idealized portfolios that is simple enough to analyze, yet is rich enough to yield useful results.

 Portfolios
 Markowitz
 Returns
 Statistics
 Critique

 000000
 000000
 000000
 00000
 00

Markowitz Portfolios and Allocations

Markowitz carried out his analysis on a class of idealized portfolios that are each characterized by a set of real numbers $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ that satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = 1.$$
 (2.6)

The portfolio picks $n_i(d)$ at the beginning at each trading day d so that

$$\frac{n_i(d)s_i(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)} = f_i, \qquad (2.7)$$

where $n_i(d)$ need not be an integer. We call these *Markowitz portfolios*. The number f_i is called the *allocation of asset i*. It uniquely determines $n_i(d)$ at the start of each trading day.

Portfolios	Markowitz ००●००००००	Returns 000000	Statistics 00000	Critique

Specifically, at the start of trading day d we determine $\{n_i(d)\}_{i=1}^N$ from (2.7) as

$$n_i(d) = \frac{f_i \pi(d-1)}{s_i(d-1)}.$$
 (2.8)

We see that for so long as $\pi(d-1) > 0$ and $s_i(d-1) > 0$ the portfolio

- holds a long position in asset *i* if $f_i > 0$,
- holds a short position in asset *i* if $f_i < 0$,
- holds a neutral position in asset *i* if $f_i = 0$.

Remark. If every f_i is nonnegative then f_i is the fraction of the portfolio's value held in asset *i* at the start of each day.

 Portfolios
 Markowitz
 Returns
 Statistics
 Critique

 000000
 000000
 00000
 00000
 000
 000

Markowitz Portfolios and Allocations

Therefore a Markowitz portfolio is determined by the vector ${\boldsymbol{f}}$ given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{pmatrix}$$

We call **f** the *allocation vector* or simply the *allocation* of the portfolio. The constraint (2.6) can be expressed in the compact form

$$\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = 1, \qquad (2.9)$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the *N*-vector with each entry equal to 1.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	00000000			

Therefore the set of allocations for all Markowitz portfolios is

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} = 1 \right\}.$$
(2.10)

Let \mathbf{e}_i denote the vector whose i^{th} entry is 1 while every other entry is 0. Because $\mathbf{1}^{\text{T}}\mathbf{e}_i = 1$, we see that $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $i = 1, \cdots, N$. For every $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \mathbf{e}_i \,,$$

where

$$\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = 1.$$

This shows that \mathcal{M} is simply the N-1 dimensional plane in \mathbb{R}^N passing through the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$. We can visualize \mathcal{M} when N is small.

Portfolios	Markowitz ○○○○○●○○○	Returns 000000	Statistics 00000	Critique

When N = 2 it is the line that passes through the unit vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

It is orthogonal to the vector $\mathbf{1}$.

When N = 3 it is the plane that passes through the unit vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is orthogonal to the vector $\mathbf{1}$.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	000000000			

A Markowitz portfolio is long if and only if

$$f_i \ge 0$$
 for every $i = 1, \cdots, N$.

We express this as

 $\mathbf{f}\geq\mathbf{0}\,,$

where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the *N*-vector with each entry equal to 0. The set of allocations for long Markowitz portfolios is thereby

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{M} : \mathbf{f} \ge \mathbf{0} \right\}.$$
(2.11)

This set will play an important role in what follows.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	000000000			

For every $\mathbf{f} \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \mathbf{e}_i \,,$$

where

$$egin{aligned} f_i \geq 0 & ext{for } i=1,\,\cdots,\,N\,, \ \mathbf{1}^{ ext{T}}\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i = 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Λ is just the N-1 dimensional simplex in \mathbb{R}^N whose vertices are the unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$. Because the sides of this simplex are identical, it is a regular simplex. We can visualize Λ when N is small.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	00000000			

When N = 2 it is the convex combination of the unit vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \,, \qquad \mathbf{e}_2 = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

This is just a line segment, which is the regular 1-dimensional simplex. When N = 3 it is the convex combination of the unit vectors

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is just an equilateral triangle, which is the regular 2-dimensional simplex.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
	00000000	●00000	00000	00

Markowitz Portfolio Returns

We now derive a simple expression for the returns of Markowitz portfolios. We start by showing that every Markowitz portfolio is *self-financing*. Recall that for the Markowitz portfolio with allocations $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ if $\pi(d-1)$ is the value of the portfolio at the end of trading day d-1 then the number of shares of asset *i* held on trading day *d* is given by formula (2.8) as

$$n_i(d)=\frac{f_i\,\pi(d-1)}{s_i(d-1)}\,.$$

Hence, the value of the portfolio at the beginning trading day d is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i(d) \, s_i(d-1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f_i \, \pi(d-1)}{s_i(d-1)} \, s_i(d-1) = \pi(d-1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = \pi(d-1) \, .$$

Here we have used (2.6), the fact that the allocations $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ sum to 1. Because this portfolio satifies (1.4), it is self-financing.

C. David Levermore (UMD)

Markowitz Portfolios

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns o●oooo	Statistics	Critique 00
Markowitz	, Dortfolio Dot			

Markowitz Portiono Returns

Because Markowitz portfolios are self-financing, it follows from (1.5) and relationship (2.7) between $n_i(d)$ and f_i that the return r(d) of a Markowitz portfolio for trading day d is

$$r(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{n_i(d)s_i(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)} \frac{s_i(d) - s_i(d-1)}{s_i(d-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i r_i(d).$$
(3.12)

The return r(d) for the Markowitz portfolio characterized by $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is thereby simply the linear combination of the $r_i(d)$ with the coefficients f_i . This relationship makes the class of Markowitz portfolios easy to analyze. It is the reason we will use Markowitz portfolios to model real portfolios.

Portfolios 000000	Markowitz	Returns 00●000	Statistics 00000	Critique
Markowitz P	ortfolio Returns	5		

Relationship (3.12) can be expressed in the compact form

$$r(d) = \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} \,, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{r}(d)$ are the *N*-vector defined by

$$\mathbf{r}(d) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1(d) \\ \vdots \\ r_N(d) \end{pmatrix}$$

We call $\mathbf{r}(d)$ the *return vector* or simply the *returns* for day *d*.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns ○○○●○○	Statistics	Critique
Markowitz	, Portfolio Pot	urpc		

Because by (3.13) we have $r(d) = \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f}$ for the Markowitz portfolio with allocation \mathbf{f} , it follows from charactertization (1.3) of solvent portfolios given by **Fact 1** that this portfolio is solvent if and only if

$$1 + \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} > 0$$
 for every $d = 1, \cdots, D$. (3.14)

The set of allocations for solvent Markowitz portfolios is thereby

$$\Omega = \left\{ \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{M} : 1 + \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} > 0 \quad \forall d \right\}.$$
(3.15)

Unlike \mathcal{M} and Λ , this set depends upon the return history $\{\mathbf{r}(d)\}_{d=1}^{D}$, with each day giving an inequality constraint. Because of this complication, we will not try to visualize Ω now.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
000000	00000000	0000●0	00000	
Markowitz P	ortfolio Return	s		

Rather, we now show that every long Markowitz portfolio is solvent. In other words, we show that $\Lambda \subset \Omega$. This shows that many solvent portfolios exist. The proof uses the fact that $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r}(d) > \mathbf{0}$, which states that every entry of $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r}(d)$ is positive.

Fact 2. We have $\Lambda \subset \Omega$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \Lambda$. Because $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f} = 1$ and $\mathbf{f} \ge \mathbf{0}$, at least one entry of \mathbf{f} must be positive. Because $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r}(d) > \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{f} \ge \mathbf{0}$, and at least one entry of \mathbf{f} is positive, we have

$$1+ \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} = \left(\mathbf{1}+ \mathbf{r}(d)
ight)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} > 0$$
 for every $d=1,\cdots,D$.

We conclude from (3.15) that $\mathbf{f} \in \Omega$. Therefore $\Lambda \subset \Omega$.

Markowitz Portfolio Returns

Therefore the sets Λ , Ω , and \mathcal{M} of allocations for long, solvent, and all Markowitz portfolios are related by

$$\Lambda \subset \Omega \subset \mathcal{M} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

We will start by doing analysis in \mathcal{M} . It is the easiest set of allocations to study because it is defined by the single equality constraint $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f} = 1$. However, when working in \mathcal{M} we must be mindful that results the fall outside of Ω could well be nonsense. We will then do analysis in Λ . It is defined by the additional the N inequality constraints $\mathbf{f} \geq \mathbf{0}$. We will try to avoid analysis in Ω because it is defined by the additional D inequality constraints $1 + \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{f} > 0$, which is harder to do numerically.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
000000		000000	•0000	00

Markowitz Portfolio Statistics

Recall that if we assign weights $\{w(d)\}_{d=1}^{D}$ to the trading days of a daily return history $\{\mathbf{r}(d)\}_{d=1}^{D}$ then the *N*-vector of return means **m** and the $N \times N$ -matrix of return covariances **V** can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{r}(d)$ as

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_N \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) \mathbf{r}(d) ,$$
$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & \cdots & v_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{N1} & \cdots & v_{NN} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) \left(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m} \right) \left(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} .$$

The choices of the daily return history $\{\mathbf{r}(d)\}_{d=1}^{D}$ and weights $\{w(d)\}_{d=1}^{D}$ specify the *calibration* of our models. *Ideally* **m** and **V** should not be overly sensitive to these choices.

C. David Levermore (UMD)

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns 000000	Statistics ○●○○○	Critique

Markowitz Portfolio Statistics

Because $r(d) = \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}(d)$, the portfolio return mean μ for the Markowitz portfolio with allocation **f** is then given by

$$\mu = \sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) r(d) = \sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) r(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) r(d)^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}.$$

Hence, $\mu = \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}$.

 Portfolios
 Markowitz
 Returns
 Statistics
 Critique

 000000
 000000
 00●00
 00

Markowitz Portfolio Statistics

Because $r(d) = \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{r}(d)$, the portfolio return variance v for the Markowitz portfolio with allocation \mathbf{f} are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) \left(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mu \right)^2 = \sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) \left(\mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) \left(\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{m} \right) \left(\mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\sum_{d=1}^{D} w(d) \left(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m} \right) \left(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $v = \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}$. Because \mathbf{V} is positive definite, v > 0.

 Portfolios
 Markowitz
 Returns
 Statistics
 Critique

 000000
 000000
 00000
 00000
 00

Markowitz Portfolio Statistics

Summarizing, the return mean μ and return variance v for the Markowitz portfolio with allocation **f** are given by

$$\mu = \mathbf{m}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}, \qquad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}.$$
 (4.17)

The return volatility σ for the portfolio is thereby

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\nu} = \sqrt{\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}} \,. \tag{4.18}$$

These simple formulas are the reason that returns are preferred over growth rates when compiling statistics of markets. This simplicity arises because **f** is independent of *d* and because the return r(d) for the Markowitz portfolio with allocation **f** depends linearly upon the vector $\mathbf{r}(d)$ of returns as

$$r(d) = \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}$$
 .

 Portfolios
 Markowitz
 Returns
 Statistics
 Critique

 000000
 000000
 00000
 00000
 00000

Markowitz Portfolio Statistics

Remark. In contrast, the growth rates x(d) of any solvent Markowitz portfolio with allocation **f** are given by

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{x}(d) &= \log \left(\frac{\pi(d)}{\pi(d-1)} \right) = \log(1+r(d)) \\ &= \log \left(1 + \mathbf{r}(d)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f} \right) = \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i(d) f_i \right) \\ &= \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(e^{\mathsf{x}_i(d)} - 1 \right) f_i \right) = \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\mathsf{x}_i(d)} f_i \right) \,. \end{split}$$

Because the x(d) are not linear functions of the $x_i(d)$, averages of x(d) over d are not simply expressed in terms of averages of $x_i(d)$ over d. Moreover, they are not defined for allocations outside Ω .

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics	Critique
000000	00000000	000000	00000	●○
Critique				

Aspects of Markowitz portfolios are unrealistic. These include:

- the fact portfolios can contain fractional shares of any asset;
- the fact portfolios are rebalanced every trading day;
- the fact transaction costs and taxes are neglected;
- the fact dividends are neglected.

By making these simplifications the subsequent analysis becomes easier. The idea is to find the Markowitz portfolio that is best for a given investor. The expectation is that any real portfolio with an allocation close to that for the optimal Markowitz portfolio will perform nearly as well. Consequently, most investors rebalance at most a few times per year, and not every asset is involved each time. Transaction costs and taxes are thereby limited. Similarly, borrowing costs are kept to a minimum by not borrowing often. The model can be modified to account for dividends.

Portfolios	Markowitz	Returns	Statistics 00000	Critique ⊙●
Critique				

Remark. Portfolios of risky assets can be designed for trading or investing.

Traders often take positions that require constant attention. They might buy and sell assets on short time scales in an attempt to profit from market fluctuations. They might also take highly leveraged positions that expose them to enormous gains or loses depending how the market moves. They must be ready to handle margin calls. Trading is often a full time job.

Investors operate on longer time scales. They buy or sell an asset based on their assessment of its fundamental value over time. Investing does not have to be a full time job. Indeed, most people who hold risky assets are investors who are saving for retirement. Lured by the promise of high returns, sometimes investors will buy shares in funds designed for traders. At that point they have become gamblers, whether they realize it or not.

The ideas presented in this course are designed to balance investment portfolios, not trading portfolios.

C. David Levermore (UMD)