

Portfolios that Contain Risky Assets 9: Unlimited Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets

C. David Levermore

University of Maryland, College Park, MD

Math 420: *Mathematical Modeling*

March 21, 2018 version

© 2018 Charles David Levermore

Portfolios that Contain Risky Assets

Part I: Portfolio Models

1. Risk and Reward
2. Covariance Matrices
3. Markowitz Portfolios
4. Solvent Portfolios
5. Limited Portfolios
6. Markowitz Frontiers for Unlimited Portfolios
7. Markowitz Frontiers for Long Portfolios
8. Markowitz Frontiers for Limited Portfolios
9. Unlimited Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets
10. Limited Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets

Unlimited Portfolios with Risk-Free Assets

- 1 Risk-Free Assets
- 2 Markowitz Portfolios
- 3 Capital Allocation Lines
- 4 Efficient Frontier

Risk-Free Assets

Until now we have considered portfolios that contain only risky assets. We now consider two kinds of *risk-free* assets (assets that have no volatility associated with them) that can play a major role in portfolio management.

The first is a *safe investment* that pays dividends at a prescribed annual return μ_{si}^{an} . This can be an FDIC insured bank account, or safe securities such as US Treasury Bills, Notes, or Bonds. (U.S. Treasury Bills are used most commonly.) *We can only hold a long position in such an asset.*

The second is a *credit line* from which you can borrow at a prescribed annual return μ_{cl}^{an} up to your credit limit. Such a credit line should require you to put up assets like real estate or part of your portfolio (a *margin*) as collateral from which the borrowed money can be recovered if need be. *We can only hold a short position in such an asset.*

Risk-Free Assets

We will assume that $\mu_{cl}^{an} \geq \mu_{si}^{an}$, because otherwise investors would make money by borrowing at return μ_{cl}^{an} in order to invest at the greater return μ_{si}^{an} . (Here we are again neglecting transaction costs.) Because free money does not sit around for long, market forces would quickly adjust the returns so that $\mu_{cl}^{an} \geq \mu_{si}^{an}$. In practice, μ_{cl}^{an} is about three points higher than μ_{si}^{an} .

We will also assume that a portfolio will not hold a position in both the safe investment and the credit line when $\mu_{cl}^{an} > \mu_{si}^{an}$. To do so would effectively be borrowing at return μ_{cl}^{an} in order to invest at the lesser return μ_{si}^{an} . While there can be cash-flow management reasons for holding such a position for a short time, it is not a smart long term position.

These assumptions imply that every portfolio can be viewed as holding a position in at most one risk-free asset: it can hold either a long position at return μ_{si}^{an} , a short position at return μ_{cl}^{an} , or a neutral risk-free position.

Risk-Free Assets

The first step is to convert the annual return $\mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}}$ of each risk-free asset to a return μ_{rf} per trading day. If there are D_y trading days in a year then we can approximate μ_{rf} by the relation

$$(1 + \mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}})^{\frac{1}{D_y}} = 1 + \mu_{\text{rf}}.$$

Here we take the growth of the risk-free asset to be the same between any two successive trading days — namely, the factor $(1 + \mu_{\text{rf}})$. Whether this is true or not depends upon the asset, but for most risk-free assets it depends upon the number of calendar days between successive trading days.

Because $\frac{1}{D_y}$ and $\mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}}$ are small, we can make the further approximation

$$(1 + \mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}})^{\frac{1}{D_y}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{D_y} \mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}},$$

whereby $\mu_{\text{rf}} \approx \frac{1}{D_y} \mu_{\text{rf}}^{\text{an}}$.

Risk-Free Assets

There are several ways to choose D_y . Because there are typically 252 trading days in a year, we can simply set $D_y = 252$. Alternatively, if our history is over a multiple h of a full year then we can set $D_y = D/h$. For example, if our history is over two full years and $D = 503$ then we can set $D_y = 251.5$. Typical values of h are $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, 2, 5, and 10.

Remark. The value $D_y = 252$ is correct when there are 365 calendar days, 104 weekend days, and 9 holidays, none of which fall on a weekend. For such a year we have $252 = 365 - 104 - 9$. Of course, leap years have 366 days, some years have 105 or 106 weekend days, and holidays fall on weekends in some years. Some years will have unscheduled closures due to bad weather or some other emergency. The day after Thanksgiving (always a Friday) plus July 3 and December 24 when they do not fall on a weekend will be half-days. We will treat half-days the same other trading days.

Markowitz Portfolios

We now extend the notion of Markowitz portfolios to portfolios that might include a single risk-free asset with return μ_{rf} .

Let $b_{\text{rf}}(d)$ denote the balance in the risk-free asset at the start of day d . For a long position $\mu_{\text{rf}} = \mu_{\text{si}}$ and $b_{\text{rf}}(d) > 0$, while for a short position $\mu_{\text{rf}} = \mu_{\text{cl}}$ and $b_{\text{rf}}(d) < 0$.

A *Markowitz portfolio* containing one risk-free asset and N risky assets is uniquely determined by real numbers f_{rf} and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$ that satisfy

$$f_{\text{rf}} + \sum_{i=1}^N f_i = 1.$$

Here f_{rf} is the allocation of the portfolio in the risk-free asset while f_i is the allocation of the portfolio in the i^{th} risky asset.

Markowitz Portfolios

The portfolio is rebalanced at the start of each day so that

$$\frac{b_{\text{rf}}(d)}{\pi(d-1)} = f_{\text{rf}}, \quad \frac{n_i(d) s_i(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)} = f_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Its value at the start of day d is

$$\pi(d-1) = b_{\text{rf}}(d) + \sum_{i=1}^N n_i(d) s_i(d-1),$$

while its value at the end of day d is approximately

$$\pi(d) = b_{\text{rf}}(d) (1 + \mu_{\text{rf}}) + \sum_{i=1}^N n_i(d) s_i(d).$$

Markowitz Portfolios

We can thereby approximate the return for day d as

$$\begin{aligned}r(d) &= \frac{\pi(d) - \pi(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)} \\&= \frac{b_{\text{rf}}(d) \mu_{\text{rf}}}{\pi(d-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{n_i(d)(s_i(d) - s_i(d-1))}{\pi(d-1)} \\&= \frac{b_{\text{rf}}(d) \mu_{\text{rf}}}{\pi(d-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{n_i(d) s_i(d-1)}{\pi(d-1)} \frac{s_i(d) - s_i(d-1)}{s_i(d-1)} \\&= f_{\text{rf}} \mu_{\text{rf}} + \sum_{i=1}^N f_i r_i(d) = f_{\text{rf}} \mu_{\text{rf}} + \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{r}(d).\end{aligned}$$

Markowitz Portfolios

The portfolio return mean μ and variance v are then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) r(d) = \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) \left(f_{\text{rf}} \mu_{\text{rf}} + \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{r}(d) \right) \\ &= f_{\text{rf}} \mu_{\text{rf}} + \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \left(\sum_{d=1}^D w(d) \mathbf{r}(d) \right) = f_{\text{rf}} \mu_{\text{rf}} + \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{m}, \\ v &= \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) (r(d) - \mu)^2 = \sum_{d=1}^D w(d) (\mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{m})^2 \\ &= \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \left(\sum_{d=1}^D w(d) (\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m})(\mathbf{r}(d) - \mathbf{m})^{\text{T}} \right) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}.\end{aligned}$$

We thereby obtain the formulas

$$\mu = \mu_{\text{rf}} \left(1 - \mathbf{1}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{f} \right) + \mathbf{m}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{f}, \quad v = \mathbf{f}^{\text{T}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{f}.$$

Capital Allocation Lines

These formulas can be viewed as describing a point that lies on a certain half-line in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane. Let (σ, μ) be the point in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane associated with the Markowitz portfolio characterized by the allocation $\mathbf{f} \neq \mathbf{0}$. For now we assume that $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f} > 0$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f}}.$$

Notice that $\mathbf{1}^T \tilde{\mathbf{f}} = 1$. Let $\tilde{\mu} = \mathbf{m}^T \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T \mathbf{V} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}}$. Then $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ is the point in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane associated with the Markowitz portfolio without risk-free assets that is characterized by the allocation $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$. Because

$$\mu = (1 - \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f}) \mu_{\text{rf}} + \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f} \tilde{\mu}, \quad \sigma = \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f} \tilde{\sigma},$$

we see that the point (σ, μ) in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane lies on the half-line that starts at the point $(0, \mu_{\text{rf}})$ and passes through the point $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ that corresponds to a portfolio that does not contain the risk-free asset.

Capital Allocation Lines

Conversely, given any point $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ corresponding to a Markowitz portfolio that contains no risk-free assets, consider the half-line

$$(\sigma, \mu) = (\phi \tilde{\sigma}, (1 - \phi)\mu_{\text{rf}} + \phi \tilde{\mu}) \quad \text{where } \phi > 0.$$

If a portfolio corresponding to $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ has allocation $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ then the point on the half-line given by ϕ corresponds to the portfolio with allocation $\mathbf{f} = \phi \tilde{\mathbf{f}}$.

This portfolio allocates $1 - \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{f} = 1 - \phi$ of its value to the risk-free asset.

The risk-free asset is held long if $\phi \in (0, 1)$ and held short if $\phi > 1$ while

$\phi = 1$ corresponds to a neutral position. We must restrict ϕ to either

$(0, 1]$ or $[1, \infty)$ depending on whether the risk-free asset is the safe

investment or the credit line. This segment of the half-line is called the

capital allocation line through $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ associated with the risk-free asset.

Capital Allocation Lines

Therefore we can use the appropriate capital allocation lines to construct the set of all points in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane associated with Markowitz portfolios that contain a risk-free asset from the set of all points in the $\sigma\mu$ -plane associated with Markowitz portfolios that contain no risk-free assets.

In the next section we will use this capital allocation line construction to see how the efficient frontier for unlimited Markowitz portfolios is modified by the inclusion of risk-free assets.

Efficient Frontier

Recall that the efficient frontier for unlimited portfolios that contain no risk-free assets is given by

$$\mu = \mu_{\text{mv}} + \nu_{\text{as}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 - \sigma_{\text{mv}}^2} \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq \sigma_{\text{mv}}.$$

Every point on this curve has a unique frontier portfolio associated with it.

When $\mu_{\text{rf}} < \mu_{\text{mv}}$ there is a unique half-line that starts at the point $(0, \mu_{\text{rf}})$ and is tangent to this curve. Denote this half-line by

$$\mu = \mu_{\text{rf}} + \nu_{\text{tg}} \sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma \geq 0.$$

Let $(\sigma_{\text{tg}}, \mu_{\text{tg}})$ be the point at which this tangency occurs. The unique frontier portfolio associated with this point is called the *tangency portfolio* associated with the risk-free asset; its allocation is $\mathbf{f}_{\text{tg}} = \mathbf{f}_f(\mu_{\text{tg}})$. Then the appropriate capital allocation line will be part of the efficient frontier.

Efficient Frontier

The so-called *tangency parameters*, σ_{tg} , μ_{tg} , and ν_{tg} , can be determined from the equations

$$\mu_{tg} = \mu_{rf} + \nu_{tg} \sigma_{tg}, \quad \mu_{tg} = \mu_{mv} + \nu_{as} \sqrt{\sigma_{tg}^2 - \sigma_{mv}^2},$$

$$\nu_{tg} = \frac{\nu_{as} \sigma_{tg}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{tg}^2 - \sigma_{mv}^2}}.$$

The first equation states that (σ_{tg}, μ_{tg}) lies on the capital allocation line. The second states that it also lies on the efficient frontier curve for portfolios that contain no risk-free assets. The third equates the slope of the capital allocation line to that of the efficient frontier curve at the point (σ_{tg}, μ_{tg}) .

Efficient Frontier

By using the last equation to eliminate ν_{tg} from the first, and then using the resulting equation to eliminate μ_{tg} from the second, we find that

$$\frac{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{rf}}{\nu_{as}} = \frac{\sigma_{tg}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{tg}^2 - \sigma_{mv}^2}} - \sqrt{\sigma_{tg}^2 - \sigma_{mv}^2} = \frac{\sigma_{mv}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{tg}^2 - \sigma_{mv}^2}}.$$

We thereby obtain

$$\sigma_{tg} = \sigma_{mv} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}}{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{rf}} \right)^2}, \quad \mu_{tg} = \mu_{mv} + \frac{\nu_{as}^2 \sigma_{mv}^2}{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{rf}},$$

$$\nu_{tg} = \nu_{as} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{rf}}{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}} \right)^2}.$$

Efficient Frontier

The allocation \mathbf{f}_{tg} of the tangency portfolio is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{f}_{\text{tg}} = \mathbf{f}_f(\mu_{\text{tg}}) &= \mathbf{f}_{\text{mv}} + \frac{\mu_{\text{tg}} - \mu_{\text{mv}}}{\nu_{\text{as}}^2} \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \mu_{\text{mv}}\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \sigma_{\text{mv}}^2 \mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{1} + \frac{\sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{rf}}} \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \mu_{\text{mv}}\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{rf}}} \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \mu_{\text{rf}}\mathbf{1}).\end{aligned}$$

Remark. The above formulas can be applied to either the safe investment or the credit line by simply choosing the appropriate value of μ_{rf} .

Efficient Frontier

Example: One Risk-Free Rate Model. First consider the case when $\mu_{si} = \mu_{cl} < \mu_{mv}$. Set $\mu_{rf} = \mu_{si} = \mu_{cl}$ and let ν_{tg} and σ_{tg} be the slope and volatility of the tangency portfolio that is common to both the safe investment and the credit line. The efficient frontier is then given by

$$\mu_{ef}(\sigma) = \mu_{rf} + \nu_{tg} \sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma \in [0, \infty).$$

Let \mathbf{f}_{tg} be the allocation of the tangency portfolio that is common to both the safe investment and the credit line. The allocation of the associated portfolio is then given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{ef}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{tg}} \mathbf{f}_{tg} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in [0, \infty),$$

These portfolios are constructed as follows:

Efficient Frontier

1. if $\sigma = 0$ then the investor holds only the safe investment;
2. if $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_{tg})$ then the investor places
 - $\frac{\sigma_{tg} - \sigma}{\sigma_{tg}}$ of the portfolio value in the safe investment,
 - $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{tg}}$ of the portfolio value in the tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{tg} ;
3. if $\sigma = \sigma_{tg}$ then the investor holds only the tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{tg} ;
4. if $\sigma \in (\sigma_{tg}, \infty)$ then the investor places
 - $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{tg}}$ of the portfolio value in the tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{tg} ,
 - by borrowing $\frac{\sigma - \sigma_{tg}}{\sigma_{tg}}$ of this value from the credit line.

Efficient Frontier

Example: Two Risk-Free Rates Model. Next consider the case when $\mu_{si} < \mu_{cl} < \mu_{mv}$. Let ν_{st} and σ_{st} be the slope and volatility of the so-called *safe tangency portfolio* associated with the safe investment. Let ν_{ct} and σ_{ct} be the slope and volatility of the so-called *credit tangency portfolio* associated with the credit line. These are given by

$$\nu_{st} = \nu_{as} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{si}}{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}} \right)^2}, \quad \sigma_{st} = \sigma_{mv} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}}{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{si}} \right)^2},$$

$$\nu_{ct} = \nu_{as} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{cl}}{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}} \right)^2}, \quad \sigma_{ct} = \sigma_{mv} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\nu_{as} \sigma_{mv}}{\mu_{mv} - \mu_{cl}} \right)^2}.$$

Efficient Frontier

The efficient frontier is then given by

$$\mu_{\text{ef}}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\text{si}} + \nu_{\text{st}} \sigma & \text{for } \sigma \in [0, \sigma_{\text{st}}], \\ \mu_{\text{mv}} + \nu_{\text{as}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 - \sigma_{\text{mv}}^2} & \text{for } \sigma \in [\sigma_{\text{st}}, \sigma_{\text{ct}}], \\ \mu_{\text{cl}} + \nu_{\text{ct}} \sigma & \text{for } \sigma \in [\sigma_{\text{ct}}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

The return means for the tangency portfolios are

$$\mu_{\text{st}} = \mu_{\text{mv}} + \frac{\nu_{\text{as}}^2 \sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{si}}}, \quad \mu_{\text{ct}} = \mu_{\text{mv}} + \frac{\nu_{\text{as}}^2 \sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{cl}}}.$$

Efficient Frontier

The allocations of risky assets for the tangency portfolios are

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{st}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{si}}} \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \mu_{\text{si}}\mathbf{1}), \quad \mathbf{f}_{\text{ct}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{mv}}^2}{\mu_{\text{mv}} - \mu_{\text{cl}}} \mathbf{V}^{-1}(\mathbf{m} - \mu_{\text{cl}}\mathbf{1}).$$

By the two fund property, the allocation of risky assets for any efficient frontier portfolio is then given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\text{ef}}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{st}}} \mathbf{f}_{\text{st}} & \text{for } \sigma \in [0, \sigma_{\text{st}}], \\ \frac{\mu_{\text{ct}} - \mu_{\text{ef}}(\sigma)}{\mu_{\text{ct}} - \mu_{\text{st}}} \mathbf{f}_{\text{st}} + \frac{\mu_{\text{ef}}(\sigma) - \mu_{\text{st}}}{\mu_{\text{ct}} - \mu_{\text{st}}} \mathbf{f}_{\text{ct}} & \text{for } \sigma \in (\sigma_{\text{st}}, \sigma_{\text{ct}}), \\ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{ct}}} \mathbf{f}_{\text{ct}} & \text{for } \sigma \in [\sigma_{\text{ct}}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Efficient Frontier

These portfolios are constructed as follows:

1. if $\sigma = 0$ then the investor holds only the safe investment;
2. if $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_{st})$ then the investor places
 - $\frac{\sigma_{st} - \sigma}{\sigma_{st}}$ of the portfolio value in the safe investment,
 - $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{st}}$ of the portfolio value in the safe tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{st} ;
3. if $\sigma = \sigma_{st}$ then the investor holds only the safe tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{st} ;

Efficient Frontier

4. if $\sigma \in (\sigma_{st}, \sigma_{ct})$ then the investor holds

- $\frac{\mu_{ct} - \mu_{ef}(\sigma)}{\mu_{ct} - \mu_{st}}$ of the portfolio value in the safe tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{st} ,
- $\frac{\mu_{ef}(\sigma) - \mu_{st}}{\mu_{ct} - \mu_{st}}$ of the portfolio value in the credit tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{ct} ;

5. if $\sigma = \sigma_{ct}$ then the investor holds only the credit tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{ct} ;

6. if $\sigma \in (\sigma_{ct}, \infty)$ then the investor places

- $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{ct}}$ of the portfolio value in the credit tangency portfolio \mathbf{f}_{ct} ,
- by borrowing $\frac{\sigma - \sigma_{ct}}{\sigma_{ct}}$ of this value from the credit line.

Efficient Frontier

Remark. Some brokers will simply ask investors to select a σ that reflects the risk they are willing to take and then will build a portfolio for them that is near the efficient frontier portfolio $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ef}}(\sigma)$. To guide this selection of σ , the broker will describe certain choices of σ as being “very conservative”, “conservative”, “aggressive”, or “very aggressive” without quantifying what these terms mean. The performance of the resulting portfolio will often be disappointing to those who selected a “very conservative” σ and painful to those who selected a “very aggressive” σ . We will see reasons for this when we develop probability-based methods for selecting a σ .

Efficient Frontier

Remark. The One Risk-Free Rate and Two Risk-Free Rates models breakdown when $\mu_{cl} \geq \mu_{mv}$ because in that case the capital allocation line construction fails. *Moreover, both models become unrealistic for large values of σ , especially when μ_{cl} is close to μ_{mv} , because they require an investor to borrow or to take short positions without bound.* In practice such positions are restricted by *credit limits*.