AMSC/MATH 420, Spring 2014 Modeling Epidemics: Team Homework 5

due Monday April 7

To get a sense of how "type a" and "type b" interventions affect the size of an outbreak, let's first consider them in the one-group SI model:

$$dS/dt = -pS\mathcal{I} - aS$$

$$d\mathcal{I}/dt = pS\mathcal{I} - (a+b)\mathcal{I}.$$

As noted in class, one way to account for the total number of people infected (according to the model) is to add a "removed" population R(t) with R(0) = 0 and

$$dR/dt = (a+b)\mathcal{I}.$$

Notice that R only counts people removed from the infectuous population, not from the susceptible population. Then I(T) + R(T) is the total number of people infected up to time T. To assess the size of the entire outbreak, you should use a value of T large enough so that S'(T) is close to zero. Remember that if a = b = 0, the total number of people infected will eventually be N = S(0) + I(0). And in class, we defined the "impact" M of an intervention to be the fraction of people the intervention prevents from being infected (which is 1 minus the fraction of people who are eventually infected).

- 1. Choose values of N and p appropriate to one your data sets (the exact values are not so important), and set I(0) = 0.01N. Find the approximate value (2 significant digits is fine) of $a_{0.5}$ such that impact is 0.5 when $a = a_{50}$ and b = 0; that is, $M(a_{0.5}, 0) = 0.5$. Similarly, find $a_{0.75}$ for which $M(a_{0.75}, 0) = 0.75$. Also, find $b_{0.5}$ and $b_{0.75}$ that achieve impacts of 0.5 and 0.75, respectively, when a = 0. Next suppose that you divide your resources between the two interventions in the following sense: let $a = 0.5a_{0.5}$ and $b = 0.5b_{0.5}$. What is impact of this split strategy; in particular, is it more or less thant 0.5? Finally, same question for splitting between $a_{0.75}$ and $b_{0.75}$.
- 2. Next, consider the 2-group model using the values of the parameters and initial conditions you found from fitting each of your data sets in the previous assignment (set $p_{12} = 0$ if your fit yielded a negative value). The idea here is to compare type a intervention targeted primarily at group 1 versus type a intervention directed equally toward both groups. For the targeted strategy, set $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ and $a_1 = 10a_2$ and find how big you have to make (a_1, a_2) to have an impact of 0.5 and to have an impact of 0.75. Then, set $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ and $a_1 = a_2$ and see how big you have to make the a's to get the same impacts. Finally, determine the effects of the split strategies that average the two vectors $(a_1, a_2, 0, 0)$ of "impact 0.5" parameters you found and the two sets of "impact 0.75" parameters you found. Do these tasks for each of your two metropolitan areas.
- 3. Same question but for type b interventions.
- 4. Summarize and interpret your results.